

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
 2 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
 3 Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
 4 Telephone (702) 949-8320

5 Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
 6 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
 7 John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
 8 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
 Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
 Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

9 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

10 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
 11 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

12 In re:

13 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE  
 14 COMPANY,

15 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS,  
 16 LLC,<sup>1</sup>

17 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST  
 18 DEED FUND, LLC,

19 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED  
 20 FUND, LLC,<sup>2</sup>

USA SECURITIES, LLC,<sup>3</sup>

Debtors.

**Affects:**

- All Debtors
- USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Securities, LLC

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
 Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR<sup>1</sup>  
 Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
 Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>2</sup>  
 Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>3</sup>

**CHAPTER 11**

Jointly Administered Under Case No.  
 BK-S-06-10725 LBR

**EIGHTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
 OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF  
 CLAIM BASED IN PART UPON  
 INVESTMENT IN THE GRAMERCY  
 COURT LOAN**

Date of Hearing: June 15, 2010

Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

21 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant  
 22 to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and  
 23 Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an  
 24 order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A**. These claims were filed by

25  
 26 <sup>1</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on September 23, 2008.  
<sup>2</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.  
<sup>3</sup> This bankruptcy case was closed on December 21, 2007.



1 individual investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
2 (“USACM”). The USACM Trust objects to these claims to the extent they are based upon  
3 an investment in a loan (the “Gramercy Court Loan”) to Gramercy Court, Ltd.  
4 (“Borrower”). To the extent such claims are based upon investments in other loans, they  
5 will not be affected by this Objection. This Objection is supported by the Court’s record  
6 and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus  
7 Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Gramercy Court Loan,  
8 both of which were filed with the Court today (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

## 9 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### 10 I. BACKGROUND FACTS

#### 11 a. The USACM Bankruptcy

12 On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USA Commercial Mortgage Company  
13 (“USACM”) filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.  
14 Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections  
15 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the  
16 Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim  
17 Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

18 USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the  
19 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans  
20 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That  
21 business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase fractional interest in  
22 loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct  
23 Lenders” in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

24 On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third  
25 Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No.  
26 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this



1 Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass  
 2 Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the  
 3 Gramercy Court Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

4 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,  
 5 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the  
 6 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of  
 7 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

8 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
 9 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
 10 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP  
 11 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
 12 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
 13 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
 14 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
 15 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

16 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
 17 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution[, LLC] and  
 18 took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset Resolution as a “single  
 19 purpose entity,” conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase Agreement to Asset  
 20 Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of Compass, including  
 21 the Purchased Assets. (citations omitted)” Asset Resolution is now a debtor in a chapter 7  
 22 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ, along with certain  
 23 affiliates.<sup>4</sup> A trustee has been appointed in the Asset Resolution case.

24  
 25 

---

 26 <sup>4</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
 Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
 Anchor B SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.



1        The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
2 court litigation, but candidly has only limited information concerning servicing and  
3 resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including  
4 the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution.

5        **b. The Gramercy Court Loan**

6        In May and June 2004, USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct  
7 Lenders soliciting funding for a construction loan to a borrower identified as "Gramercy  
8 Court Condominiums." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and  
9 incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.)

10       On June 25, 2004, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the  
11 Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust"  
12 (the "Note") and a related "Construction Loan Agreement" ("Loan Agreement") (Berman  
13 Decl., ¶5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a construction loan with an initial  
14 distribution of \$10,462,500 and subsequent distributions that would, if made, bring the  
15 total amount of the loan up to \$37,250,000. (Berman Decl., ¶5.) The loan was intended to  
16 fund the construction of a condominium development located in Harris County, Texas.  
17 (Berman Decl., ¶5.)

18       The Note is secured by a Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement  
19 and Fixture Filing ("Deed of Trust") on real property and improvements thereon, as  
20 described in the Deed of Trust. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) The Deed of Trust was recorded on  
21 June 30, 2004 in the Official Records of the Harris County, Texas. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.)

22       The Note is also secured by a Guaranty given by Tracy Suttles (the "Guarantor"),  
23 wherein the Guarantor agreed to pay all indebtedness owed by Borrower related to the  
24 Note. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.)

25       In addition to the initial loan of \$10,462,500, USACM originated 14 subsequent  
26 advances using Direct Lender funding. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) For each one Borrower and



1 USACM entered into an "Amendment to Deed of Trust" and "Amendment to Loan  
2 Documents." (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) The last of such amendments were the Fourteenth  
3 Amendment to Deed of Trust and Fourteenth Amendment to Loan Documents. (Berman  
4 Decl., ¶ 9.) Both were executed by Borrower, through its President Tracy Suttles, on  
5 February 8, 2006 and recorded in the official records of Harris County, Texas on February  
6 14, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 9.) These final amendments indicate that the total amount  
7 funded on the Gramercy Court Loan after the 14<sup>th</sup> distribution was \$34,384,500. (Berman  
8 Decl., ¶ 9.)

9 According to USACM records, Borrower had, by July 31, 2006, defaulted on the  
10 Note. (Berman Decl., ¶ 10.) During the bankruptcy case, USACM treated the Direct  
11 Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this  
12 Court's orders and the confirmed Plan. The USACM Trust does not know the ultimate  
13 disposition of the Gramercy Court Loan, the collateral securing that loan or whether the  
14 Direct Lenders will be repaid in whole or in part. (Berman Decl., ¶ 10.)

15 **c. The Gramercy Court Claims**

16 **Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be  
17 based in part upon an investment in the Gramercy Court Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.)

18 **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the  
19 total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an  
20 investment in the Gramercy Court Loan based upon the information provided by the  
21 claimant. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as  
22 the "Gramercy Court Claims." As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page  
23 of the proof of claim for each of the above-referenced claims are attached as **Exhibit C**.

24

25

26



## 1 II. JURISDICTION

2 The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and  
3 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core  
4 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

5 The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and  
6 Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

## 7 III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

8 Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed  
9 will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof  
10 of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and  
11 shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable against the  
12 debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is  
13 contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is  
14 presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof  
15 of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of  
16 persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated*  
17 *Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P.  
18 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

## 19 IV. THE OBJECTION

20 The Gramercy Court Loan appears to have been a legitimate, arms-length  
21 transaction. The Direct Lenders listed in **Exhibit A** fail to state a claim based upon the  
22 Gramercy Court Loan because USACM did not guarantee the Direct Lenders' investment  
23 in the Gramercy Court Loan. USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any  
24 decrease in the value of the collateral caused by the downturn in the real estate market.

25 The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM did not breach the loan  
26 servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Gramercy Court Loan. USACM



1 was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Gramercy Court Loan or take  
 2 any other action.

3 To the extent that the Direct Lenders were repaid, or are repaid in the future, on the  
 4 Gramercy Court Loan, their claims should be reduced accordingly.

5 **V. CONCLUSION**

6 The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims listed in  
 7 **Exhibit A** to the extent these claims are based upon an investment in the Gramercy Court  
 8 Loan. To the extent the claims listed are based upon investments in other loans, they are  
 9 not affected by this Objection. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further  
 10 relief as is just and proper.

11 Dated: May 14, 2010.

12 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

13 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)  
 14 Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593  
 15 John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)  
 16 Marvin Ruth, NV 10979  
 17 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169  
 19 E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com  
 20 *Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

21 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
 22 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
 23 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
 24 May 14, 2010 to all parties listed on  
 25 Exhibit A attached.

26 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

27 s/ Leilani Lista